

Licensing Committee



SOUTH
KESTEVEN
DISTRICT
COUNCIL

Monday, 6 July 2026 at 10.00 am
Council Chamber - South Kesteven House, St. Peter's Hill,
Grantham. NG31 6PZ

Committee Members: Councillor Paul Fellows (Chairman)
Councillor Robert Leadenham (Vice-Chairman)
Councillor Harrish Bisnauthsing, Councillor Pam Bosworth, Councillor Helen Crawford, Councillor Patsy Ellis, Councillor Jane Kingman, Councillor Philip Knowles, Councillor Rhea Rayside, Councillor Susan Sandall and Councillor Elvis Stooke

Agenda

- 1. Apologies for absence**
- 2. Disclosure of interests**
Members are asked to disclose any interests in matters for consideration at the meeting.
- 3. Minutes of the meeting held on 19 May 2026** (Pages 3 - 10)
- 4. Exclusion of the Press and Public**
That under Section 100 (A) 4 of the Local Government Act 1972, the public and press be excluded from the meeting during consideration of the following items on the grounds that it is likely, that if they were present, there could be disclosed to them exempt information as defined in the relevant paragraphs of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Act.
- 5. Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976** (Pages 11 - 50)
Committee to determine whether a driver remains a fit and proper person to hold a licence, report ENV938 from the Licensing Officer.
- 6. Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976** (Pages 51 - 84)
Committee to determine whether a driver remains a fit and proper

Published and dispatched by democracy@southkesteven.gov.uk on Friday, 26 June 2026.

† 01476 406080

Karen Bradford, Chief Executive

www.southkesteven.gov.uk

person to hold a licence, report ENV940 from the Licensing Officer.

7. **Any other business which the Chairman, by reason of special circumstances, decides is urgent.**

Minutes

Licensing Committee
Tuesday, 19 May 2026



SOUTH
KESTEVEN
DISTRICT
COUNCIL

Committee members present

Councillor Paul Fellows (Chairman)
Councillor Robert Leadenham (Vice-Chairman)
Councillor Harrish Bisnauthsing
Councillor Pam Bosworth
Councillor Helen Crawford
Councillor Jane Kingman
Councillor Philip Knowles
Councillor Rhea Rayside
Councillor Elvis Stooke

Officers

Chris Clarke (Licensing Officer)
Elizabeth Reeve (Licensing Officer)
Heather Green (Licensing Manager)
Kim Robertson (Legal Advisor, LSL)
Lucy Bonshor (Democratic Officer)

9. Apologies for absence

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Patsy Ellis.

10. Disclosure of interests

None disclosed.

11. Minutes of the meeting held on 17 March 2026

Minutes from the meeting held on 17 March 2026 were proposed, seconded and agreed.

12. Exclusion of the Press and Public

It was proposed, seconded and agreed to exclude the press and public during consideration of the following items of business in accordance with Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972 because of the likelihood that otherwise exempt information, as described in paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Act (as amended) would be disclosed to them.

13. Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976

Decision

That the driver was not a fit and proper person to hold a Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Drivers Licence with South Kesteven District Council as they had not met the requirements of South Kesteven District Council's Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy and that their dual Driver's licence be revoked.

The applicant was not present at the meeting.

The Licensing Officer presented exempt report ENV937 which concerned whether a driver remained a fit and proper person to hold a Dual Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Driver Licence with South Kesteven District Council having failed to meet the requirements of the Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy by maintaining the DBS subscription or registering for a new DBS certificate.

The driver had held a dual Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Drivers licence with South Kesteven District Council since October 2024. The June 2024 Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy stated the requirement for drivers to register their DBS certificates for the DBS Update Service, enabling licensing officers to undertake a six monthly check.

The driver had a DBS certificate issued to them upon first application in October 2024 and was signed up to the DBS update service, with a successful DBS subscription check being carried out in April 2025.

The next check in October 2025 indicated that the DBS update service subscription had failed. Emails were sent to the driver detailing the failure and the actions required to rectify it. Further auto reminder emails were also sent at the end of October, no application was received.

The unsubmitted DBS invitations expired after 30 days. A new DBS invitation was sent out in January 2026 by email with a further email being sent indicating the necessary remedial action required no later than February 2026. Further auto email reminders were sent in January and February 2026.

After the February deadline had passed attempts to contact the driver by telephone on two separate occasions went straight to voicemail. A visit to the drivers address was carried out by a Licensing Officer who relayed that the driver needed to surrender their badge if no longer required or that a DBS application needed to be submitted. An email was received from the driver who indicated that they wished to keep their badge. A third application was sent in April 2026 inviting the driver to apply for the DBS check with an April deadline given. To date no application had been received.

Members questioned the Officer about the in person visit and it was confirmed that a woman had answered the door and the information had been relayed to her. A question was asked about why the driver wished to keep their badge; however the Licensing Officer could not confirm the reason.

The Licensing Officer then gave her closing statement reminding Members that each application had to be determined on its own merits. The Licensing Officer referred to the report where the relevant sections from the Council's Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy were reproduced in respect of the requirements for the Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) and the process for renewals of the DBS service. When determining the matter before them the Committee must have regard to all relevant policies including the Council's Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy and the Department of Transport Standards and relevant guidance.

(10:13 Licensing Officers left the meeting)

Members discussed the driver before them having regard to all relevant guidance and policies. Members felt that Officers had made an extra effort to contact the driver but to no avail and they were disappointed that he had not attended the Committee to explain why they wished to retain their Dual drivers badge. After considering all the information available, it was noted that the driver had not met the requirements of the Council's Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy and therefore it was proposed, seconded and agreed that the driver was not a fit and proper person and that the licence should be revoked.

(10:18 Licensing Officers returned to the meeting)

The Legal Advisor read out the Committee's decision.

The Committee had considered the report provided by the Licensing Officer and the email correspondence from the driver who did not attend the hearing.

The Committee noted that the licensing team had made numerous attempts to contact the driver via email, in person and on the telephone to make sure they were fully aware of the requirements. The Committee had therefore determined that the driver did not remain a fit and proper person to hold a licence as they had not met the requirements of SKDC Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy in that they had failed to maintain their DBS subscription, and had not

complied with requests to submit a new DSB certificate all in accordance with the Council's Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy.

The Committee therefore decided that the Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Dual Drivers licence should be revoked.

There was a right of appeal of the decision to the Magistrates' Court within 21 days of receipt of written notice.

14. Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976

Decision

The Committee decided to depart from the Council's Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy in this instance and in their view, the circumstances were exceptional to justify such a departure and that the driver remained a fit and proper person to hold a Dual Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Drivers licence.

The Chairman introduced those present and confirmed who would be speaking in respect of the matter before the Committee. The driver was present together with a family representative.

The Licensing Officer presented exempt report ENV936 which was for the Committee to determine whether the driver remained a fit and proper person to hold a Dual Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Drivers' Licence, a Private Hire Operators licence and a Private Hire Vehicle licence with South Kesteven District Council after receiving a six month driving ban in court for totting up of penalty points.

The driver had held a Dual Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Drivers Licence with South Kesteven District Council since November 2023, a private hire operator licence since February 2024 and a private hire vehicle licence since September 2025.

In April 2026 the Licensing Team had received an email from the driver with the outcome of a court hearing which advised that the driver had been disqualified from driving for six months and had also incurred a fine. The ban was due to the totting up procedure of obtaining 13 penalty points on their DVLA licence.

An email was sent to the driver requesting further information regarding the penalty points to which the driver responded. Copies of the emails were appended to the report.

The driver then made their representation outlining the circumstances in which the offences had occurred and answered Members questions in relation to the incidents and offences.

The Licensing Officer then gave his closing statement reminding the Committee that each matter should be determined on its own merits. Members attention was drawn to the relevant parts of the Council's Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy which was reproduced in the report at paragraph 3.2. When making their decision the Committee must have regard to all relevant policies including any representations made by the driver in their defence, together with the Council's Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy and the Department of Transport Standards and relevant guidance.

The driver then made a brief closing statement stating that the offences had been an honest mistake due to the new vehicle and not being familiar with that particular road and in future they would ensure that they were more aware of speed limits.

(10:35 Licensing Officers, driver and representative left the meeting)

Members discussed the driver before them having regard to all the relevant guidance and policies and the representation made by the driver and their representative.

Some Members felt that the driver should have been more aware of what the speed limit should be, especially being a hackney carriage/private hire driver and the safety of the public was paramount. However, it was acknowledged that driving an unfamiliar vehicle on an unfamiliar road could have contributed to the offences and the fact that the offences took place in the same area over a matter of days was also acknowledged by the Committee together with the driver notifying the Licensing Team of the offences and ban.

Discussion followed on what was prescribed in the Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy in respect of the offences and the time periods. Members felt that the circumstances in this particular case were exceptional and a departure from the Policy be considered. A proposal was made that the driver retain his licence which was seconded, further discussion followed and it was also proposed that a warning be included in the decision. On being put to the vote it was agreed that the driver retain is Dual Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Drivers licence and that they received a warning as to future conduct.

(10:55 Licensing Officers, driver and their representative returned to the meeting)

The Legal Advisor read out the Committee's decision.

The Committee had considered the report provided by the Licensing Officer and the representation made by the driver together with their representative.

The driver advised the Committee that they had been using a new car at the time of the offences and it was mainly due to the unknown. The driver was also not familiar with the road and they had been made aware of the offences via a letter

all at the same time. The driver had notified the licensing team of the court disqualification.

The Committee determined that the driver remained a fit and proper person to hold a Dual Hackney Carriage and Private Hire driver's licence but gave a warning as to their future conduct. The Committee decided to depart from the Council's Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy in this instance and in their view, the circumstances were exceptional to justify such a departure. They noted the three offences were for speeding and all occurred over four days at the same location, whilst driving a new car and were all notified at the same time. The Committee also noted that the driver had notified the Licensing Team of their conviction.

The Committee, therefore decided that the driver remained a fit and proper person and their dual licence should remain.

The driver thanked the Committee for their decision.

The Chairman stated that the meeting was back in public session.

15. Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982: Application to renew a Sexual Entertainment Venue Licence - Lolos Lounge, 99A Westgate, Grantham. NG31 6LE

Decision

To approve the renewal of the application for a Sexual Entertainment Venue Licence for Lolos Lounge (previously known as Taboo Gentlemen's Club), 99A Westgate, Grantham, NG31 6LE in accordance with Schedule 3 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982 (as amended by the Policing and Crime Act 2009).

The Chairman introduced those present and confirmed who would be speaking in respect of the application before the Committee. Serena Baxter the applicant confirmed she would be speaking in respect of the application.

The Licensing Officer presented the report which concerned an application to renew a Sexual Entertainment Venue Licence for Lola's Lounge, 99A Westgate, Grantham.

The Policing and Crime Act 2009 amends Schedule 3 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982 to permit the Licensing Authority to license sex establishments.

In accordance with the Authority's procedures, all applications relating to sexual entertainment venues, with the exception of uncontested transfer applications, will be heard by the Licensing Committee.

On 27 March 2026 an application was received by the Licensing Authority to renew the existing Sexual Entertainment Venue Licence for Lolos Lounge, 99a Westgate, Grantham.

A sex establishment means any premises, vessel or stall where relevant entertainment is provided before a live audience for the financial gain of the organiser or entertainer.

The applicant had run a sexual entertainment venue at the premises since April 2025, although there had been a sexual entertainment venue licence at the premise since 2012. No objections had been received from the Police, the only responsible authority required to be served with a copy of the application under Schedule 3, paragraph 10 (14) of the LGMPA, one representation from a member of the public had been received but this had since been withdrawn.

The applicant then made their representation stating that they had bought the business in April 2025. Ms Baxter had been running sexual entertainment venues since 2017 and the application before the Committee was a renewal of the sexual entertainment venue licence, the only change being the name of the venue.

The Licensing Officer then gave their closing statement. Each application must be determined on its own merits with applications only being able to be refused on certain defined mandatory or discretionary grounds as outlined at paragraph 3.1 within the report. The options available to the Committee were:

- To renew the application as applied for
- To renew the application with modifications and/or additional conditions
- Refuse the renewal – if, having considered the renewal application the committee decides to refuse it, it must provide the applicant with the reasons for the decision in writing.

The applicant had nothing to add.

(11:06 the Licensing Officers, applicant and the press left the meeting)

Members discussed the application before them having regard to all relevant guidance and policies. Members noted that the application was for a renewal of the sexual entertainment venue licence and that the only representation received had been withdrawn and no representations had been received from the Police, the only responsible body required to be notified. It was proposed, seconded and unanimously agreed to approve the licence as applied for.

(11:11 the Licensing Officers, applicant and press returned to the meeting)

The Legal Advisor read out the Committee's decision. The Committee had read all the relevant guidance and policies together with the report before them and had heard from the applicant. The Committee noted that the only representation had been withdrawn. Having noted the contents of the application and the history of the premises the Committee decided to approve the renewal application as applied for.

16. Any other business which the Chairman, by reason of special circumstances, decides is urgent.

None.

17. Close of meeting

The meeting closed at 11:13am.

Agenda Item 5

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 6

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank